

PLANNING & ZONING COMMISSION and PARKS, RECREATION & OPEN SPACE COMMISSION Virtual Meeting Albany, CA 94706

1 2		MINUTES OF JOINT MEETING WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 29, 2021
3 4	1.	CALL TO ORDER – PLANNING & ZONING COMMISSION
5 6 7		Chair Watty called the meeting of the Planning and Zoning Commission to order at 5:00 p.m. on Wednesday, September 29, 2021.
8 9	2.	ROLL CALL
10 11 12 13 14 15		Present:Donaldson, MacLeod, Momin, Pilch, WattyAbsent:NoneStaff Present:Planning Manager Anne Hersch Associate Planner Christopher Tan
16 17	3.	EX PARTE COMMUNICATIONS
18 19		None
20 21	4.	CONSENT CALENDAR
22 23		None
24 25	5.	ANNOUNCEMENTS/COMMUNICATIONS/DISCUSSION
26 27		None
28	6.	PUBLIC COMMENT
29 30		None
31 32 33 34	7.	DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION ON MATTERS RELATED TO THE FOLLOWING ITEMS:
54 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43		7-1. PA21-002 Design Review & Density Bonus for a New Mixed-Use Development at 540 San Pablo Avenue – The applicant is seeking Design Review, Density Bonus, and street tree removal approval for a new 207-unit mixed-use project with 5,500 sq. ft. of ground-floor retail at 540 San Pablo Avenue. The subject site is 2.18 acres with 37,700 sq. ft. of commercial space which is proposed to be demolished. The proposed project includes a mix of studio, loft, one-bedroom and two-bedroom apartments and 9 three-bedroom townhomes. Building A will have 121 units and will be 69' in height. Building B will have 77 units and will be 71' in height. The project is proposed to have 181 off-street parking



1	spaces. Two Density Bonus concessions are requested for the open space
2	requirement and Planned Unit Development (PUD) requirement.
3	
4	Staff recommends that Planning & Zoning Commission take the following
5	actions:
6	
7	1. Adopt Resolution 2021-02 forwarding a recommendation to the City Council
8	to determine that the Design Review and Density Bonus application request for
9	a new 207-unit mixed-use apartment project at 540 San Pablo Avenue is
10	Categorically Exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)
11	Guidelines review pursuant to Section 15532 "In-Fill Development Projects" of
12	the CEQA Guidelines
13	2. Adopt Resolution 2021-03 forwarding a recommendation to the City Council
14	to approve the Design Review and Density Bonus application request for a new
15	207-unit mixed-use apartment project at 540 San Pablo Avenue
16	
17	CEQA: The project is Categorically Exempt from California Environmental Quality
18	Act Guidelines review pursuant to Section 15532 "In-Fill Development Projects" of
19	the CEQA Guidelines
20	
21	Planning Manager Anne Hersch presented the staff report dated September 29, 2021.
22	
23	Isaiah Stackhouse, project architect, reported the project site is located near grocery
24	stores, services, and BART. The site includes a relocatable and irrevocable easement
25	that allows vehicles to exit the site via the traffic signal at Brighton. On March 24, 2021,
26	Commissioners suggested more open space, less outdoor parking, ground-level open
27	spaces for community use, a café adjacent to outdoor seating, a connection to Adams, a
28	built edge along Adams, and more family-friendly units if possible. The project has been
29	revised to replace surface parking with townhouses and open space, create townhouses
30	along Adams, add a park and two plazas, a café space, and three mid-block connections
31	to Adams, and increase the number of three-bedroom units to 102 family units. In
32	response to comments during the August 4, 2021 meeting, the applicant modified the
33	project to include family-friendly features, increase the size and capacity of the Building
34	
35	A bike room, and enlarge retail and lobby spaces. The applicant believes this amount of
	retail space is appropriate for the site. The project includes 154 parking spaces at a ratio
36	
	retail space is appropriate for the site. The project includes 154 parking spaces at a ratio
36	retail space is appropriate for the site. The project includes 154 parking spaces at a ratio of 0.74 space per residential unit due to the number of family units and 24 parking spaces
36 37	retail space is appropriate for the site. The project includes 154 parking spaces at a ratio of 0.74 space per residential unit due to the number of family units and 24 parking spaces for commercial spaces. Comparing the current proposal to the original proposal, surface parking has been reduced by 66 percent, and the number of overall parking spaces has been reduced by 19 spaces. The project provides 102 family units, a family center, an
36 37 38	retail space is appropriate for the site. The project includes 154 parking spaces at a ratio of 0.74 space per residential unit due to the number of family units and 24 parking spaces for commercial spaces. Comparing the current proposal to the original proposal, surface parking has been reduced by 66 percent, and the number of overall parking spaces has
36 37 38 39	retail space is appropriate for the site. The project includes 154 parking spaces at a ratio of 0.74 space per residential unit due to the number of family units and 24 parking spaces for commercial spaces. Comparing the current proposal to the original proposal, surface parking has been reduced by 66 percent, and the number of overall parking spaces has been reduced by 19 spaces. The project provides 102 family units, a family center, an
36 37 38 39 40	retail space is appropriate for the site. The project includes 154 parking spaces at a ratio of 0.74 space per residential unit due to the number of family units and 24 parking spaces for commercial spaces. Comparing the current proposal to the original proposal, surface parking has been reduced by 66 percent, and the number of overall parking spaces has been reduced by 19 spaces. The project provides 102 family units, a family center, an onsite park, bike parking, and more than 21,000 square feet of open space. Of the 21



1

2 3 been modified to include decorative railings. The project includes features reminiscent of

the bowling alley and memorabilia from the bowling alley.

PUBLIC HEARING OPENED 4 5 **Nick Peterson**, speaking as an individual, supported the project. The architect and 6 applicant have worked diligently to respond to requests from the community. 7 8 Clay Larson suggested the Commission add a condition of approval requiring the 9 developer to manage parking such that the majority of it is utilized. 10 11 Amy Smolens appreciated the residential project, especially its location in a transit-rich 12 corridor. The amount of bike parking is reasonable. Garbage and recycle bins for the 13 townhomes should be located outdoors. 14 15 Bryan Marten concurred with Mr. Larson's suggestion. Charging separately for parking 16 incentivizes residents to park in the neighborhood. There should not be any driveways 17 to Adams and Clay. The park is an excellent addition. 18 19 Ken McCroskey inquired regarding onsite employees having access to protected bike 20 parking, electric vehicle (EV) charging stations being open to the public, and parking 21 spaces for carshare vehicles. Hopefully, residents will utilize transit rather than personal 22 23 vehicles. 24 25 **Nico Nagle**, Housing Action Coalition, supported the project as a way to activate San Pablo Avenue and increase housing stock. 26 27 PUBLIC HEARING CLOSED 28 29 When asked, Mr. Stackhouse explained that the Municipal Code allows a maximum of 30 31 100 square feet of private open space per unit to count towards the open space requirement, but private open space for many of the units is greater than 100 square feet. 32 Only open space that complies with the letter of the law is included in total open space. 33 A puzzle lift can accommodate up to ten vehicles on the upper level and nine on the lower 34 level. One space on the lower level must remain open to allow the removal of vehicles 35 from the upper level. The height of the townhomes is 28 feet. Two EV charging stations 36 37 will be located outdoors and available to the public. The applicant would like to attract a carshare program to the project. Windows are proposed for the garage because garage 38 lighting tends to be harsh during the night hours and neighbors along Adams prefer a 39 more residential appearance. To eliminate mechanical ventilation, the garage would have 40 to be entirely open, and neighbors along Adams may object to such a view. The use of 41 windows in other projects has been successful. The landscape architect will review and 42 consider comments regarding the landscape plan. Modifying the bike room to provide 43 access for onsite employees is a possibility. Outdoor bike racks are noted in the plans. 44 3



25

40

Unbundled parking is definitely a component of the project, but a monetary contribution 1 to a future bike path has only been discussed as a possibility. The applicant prefers to 2 provide access to the townhome garages via the easement rather than Adams. A 3 member of the public, and most likely the neighbors, prefers access via the easement as 4 well. Townhome trash and recycle bins could be relocated to Building A or B to provide 5 6 easier access to bike parking in townhome garages. The project will comply with requirements for EV charging stations. The project team has not formulated any plans 7 for parking management at the current time. Building appliances and systems will be 8 electrified as much as feasible. Gas appliances may be needed to attract restaurant 9 tenants. The site is located in a transit-rich area and is walkable, but transit passes for 10 residents can be considered. The bike rooms will have multiple electrical outlets for 11 charging electric bikes. Solar panels will be installed on the roof. Energy certifications 12 for the buildings are a possibility. Garage parking will be limited to residents with 13 courtyard parking available to the public. Commercial tenants will have access to trash 14 rooms but not to lobbies and bike rooms. Additional windows could be added to the 15 16 garages.

- Chair Watty supported the project and its design. The proposal of two buildings rather than one large building provides opportunities for large community benefits and modulation of building forms. The proposed density and onsite affordability are good. The six parking spaces abutting the mini park raise concerns about the safety of park users, and the spaces could be removed to enlarge the park. Five years after full occupancy, the project may be over-parked due to the demographic shift in tenants of large residential projects.
- Commissioner Donaldson related that the property owners and project architects have 26 27 done good projects in Berkeley, and the City of Albany is fortunate to have them working on this project. The project team has responded to public and Commissioner comments. 28 29 He appreciated the design, materials, location of the townhomes and easement, and the Clay Street frontage. He calculated a parking deficit of 52 spaces for the project and felt 30 31 the standard of one parking space per unit is appropriate and realistic. With unbundled parking, many tenants will choose to utilize free on-street parking. The Commission 32 should require bundled parking for all two- and three-bedroom units and 32 of the 75 one-33 bedroom units to ensure onsite parking is utilized and to minimize spillover parking. The 34 plans do not indicate any visitor parking spaces. Public comments rightfully question 35 whether the project is consistent with the 2035 General Plan. Analyses of General Plan 36 37 policies should acknowledge compromises and the causes of compromises. The base project is consistent with the General Plan, but waivers enabled by the Density Bonus 38 Law override General Plan policies and zoning regulations. 39
- 41 Commissioner MacLeod advised that electric heat pumps are available for water heating. 42 The money saved from not installing gas lines can be applied to the cost of electric 43 building systems. Retrofitting gas building systems in the future will be costly. Transit 44 passes are another way to mitigate neighbors' concerns about overflow parking. The



11

22

suggestion to enlarge the park is a good opportunity to create more open space and make 1 the project more family-friendly. Perhaps the easement could be restricted to one-way 2 travel to provide a small buffer space for vehicles backing from townhome garages. The 3 Sutter parking lot could be a shared resource for parking after Sutter's business hours. 4 The project provides more parking than is required, and some parking spaces could be 5 6 converted to other uses. The project should be modified to provide a separate area for employee bike parking. The location of bike parking in the townhomes is not practical. 7 There should be unimpeded aisles from bike rooms to garage drive aisles. The 8 mechanical rooms in Building B should be commercial spaces to comply with the overlay 9 district requirement. 10

Commissioner Pilch noted that EV charging stations could attract both residential and 12 commercial tenants. Neighbors are concerned about spillover parking, and a parking 13 management plan could mitigate their concerns. He concurred with comments regarding 14 enlarging the park and electric building systems. On-street parking will not be available 15 along the Clay and San Pablo frontages and maybe not along the Adams frontage. If the 16 applicant chooses not to use neon signs from Albany Bowl, the City should save them. 17 The applicant should review public comments regarding landscaping, particularly the 18 19 potential use of invasive species. The proposed street tree treatment should incorporate rain gardens. He supported comments regarding an aisle from the bike room, additional 20 windows in garage walls, and enlarging the park. 21

Commissioner Momin indicated large openings covered with screens in garage walls is 23 not attractive, and windows alleviate the blankness of walls. The project will be a great 24 25 precedent for development under the San Pablo Avenue Specific Plan with respect to design quality and community engagement. Expanding the park into the parking spaces 26 27 and providing a play area would be a valuable addition to the project. Modification of the 28 townhomes to include balconies is good. Circulation and seating areas in the landscape 29 plan are nicely planned. Comments from the third-party reviewer regarding the landscape plan should be implemented where feasible. The dog walk area needs to be 30 31 reconsidered. Perhaps townhome residents can utilize the trash rooms. Materials adjacent to corten steel features along the sidewalk should be considered carefully 32 because the steel's patina can stain other materials. 33

34 Motion to adopt Resolution 2021-02 recommending the City Council determine 35 that the Design Review and Density Bonus application request for a new 207-unit 36 37 mixed-use apartment project at 540 San Pablo Avenue is Categorically Exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines review pursuant 38 to Section 15532 "In-Fill Development Projects" of the CEQA Guidelines. Watty 39 Seconded by Donaldson 40 AYES: Donaldson, MacLeod, Momin, Pilch, Watty 41 NAYES: None 42 ABSTAIN: None 43 44 ABSENT: None



1		Motion passed, 5-0-0-0
2 3 4		Motion to adopt Resolution 2021-03 recommending the City Council approve the Design Review and Density Bonus application request for a new 207-unit
5		mixed-use apartment project at 540 San Pablo Avenue with additional conditions
6		of approval to 1) eliminate six parking spaces and enlarge the park by a
7		commensurate amount of square footage, 2) require a redesign of bike room
8		access to provide sufficient room for the maneuvering of cargo bicycles, 3)
9 10		encourage the developer to consider relocating MEP spaces along San Pablo Avenue in Building B and filling the spaces with active commercial uses, 4) require
10		the developer to salvage Albany Bowl signage and encourage the developer to
12		incorporate the signage into the project should the developer choose to provide
13		public art onsite, and 5) clarify General Plan findings so that they acknowledge
14		aspects of the project that do not comply with provisions of the General Plan
15		because of state laws. Watty
16		Seconded by MacLeod
17		AYES: MacLeod, Momin, Pilch, Watty
18		NAYES: Donaldson ABSTAIN: None
19 20		ABSTAIN: None ABSENT: None
20		Motion passed, 4-1-0-0
22		
23		Chair Watty noted the appeal period.
24		
25 26	8.	NEXT REGULAR PLANNING & ZONING COMMISSION MEETING – October 13, 2021, 7 PM
27 28 29		City Hall Council Chambers, 1000 San Pablo Avenue or virtual meeting pursuant to state and county guidance
29 30 31	9.	PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION ADJOURNMENT
32 33		The Planning & Zoning Commission meeting was adjourned at 7:29 p.m.
34 35	1.	CALL TO ORDER – PARKS, RECREATION & OPEN SPACE COMMISSION 7:30 p.m.
36		
37	2.	ROLL CALL
38		
39		Commissioners present: Abbott, Armendariz, Beall, Frank, Price
40		Commissioners absent: Kent, Marten
41		Staff present: Isabelle Leduc, Sid Schoenfeld, Anne Hersch
42 42	3.	EX PARTE COMMUNICATIONS
43 44	5.	
- -		



PLANNING & ZONING COMMISSION and PARKS, RECREATION & OPEN SPACE COMMISSION Virtual Meeting Albany, CA 94706

	None
4.	PUBLIC COMMENT
	None
5.	PARKS, RECREATION AND OPEN SPACE COMMISSION PUBLIC HEARING AND POSSIBLE ACTION ON MATTERS RELATED TO THE FOLLOWING ITEMS:
	5-1. Street Tree Removal Request 540 San Pablo Avenue – As part of the project request for a new mixed-use project at 540 San Pablo Avenue, the applicant has filed a street tree removal request to remove twenty-seven (27) existing street trees on San Pablo Avenue and Adams St. Approximately, thirty-seven (37) replacement street trees are proposed to be planted on San Pablo Avenue, Adams St. and Clay St.
	Planning Manager Anne Hersch presented the staff report dated September 29, 2021.
	When asked, Karen Krolewski , project landscape architect, discussed the condition of existing street trees and the rationale for removing trees and selecting replacement species.
	PUBLIC HEARING OPENED
	None
	PUBLIC HEARING CLOSED
	Commissioners noted the addition of street trees and the condition of existing trees.
	Motion to approve the application requesting removal of street trees for the mixed-use project at 540 San Pablo Avenue was made by Commissioner Abbott and seconded by Commissioner Beall. AYES: Abbott, Armendariz, Beall, Frank, Price NOES: None
	ABSENT: Kent, Marten ABSTAINING: None
	Motion carried.
6.	NEXT MEETING: Thursday, October 14, 2021, 7 PM
7.	PARKS, RECREATION & OPEN SPACE COMMISSION ADJOURNMENT
	The Parks, Recreation & Open Space Commission meeting was adjourned at 8:03 p.m.



PLANNING & ZONING COMMISSION and PARKS, RECREATION & OPEN SPACE COMMISSION Virtual Meeting Albany, CA 94706

Submitted by: Anne Hersch, Planning Manager

Jeff Bond, Community Development Director